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## Introduction

'Nothing endures but change' - Heraclitus

## Finding equanimity

Do we not all strive for the unattainable, the way a homely little girl plays with the Barbie doll who she will never look like? In our chess games most of us seek a kind of secular divinity by playing what we consider a perfect game. But how often do we actually get these kinds of games? Maybe 10 games in our lifetime if we are lucky? In reality we all reach for the paradise which is nearly always lost. Most of our wins are far from straight line trajectories and instead are filled with bumps and misadventures.

Chess is not like other endeavors. We never hear a bus driver say out loud: 'I have never driven the bus as well as I did today,' or, 'Man, I'm driving terribly this week. I wonder if I'm in a driving slump?' My job as a teacher, much like a psychologist, often entails that I simply listen sympathetically while the student recounts the terrible tragedy which occurred in his or her game.

In our games, when does the line between smooth and bumpy begin to blur? In my own games, the smooth Capablanca-like performances I seek have a disconcerting way of morphing into a disjointed conglomeration of misfit pieces and plans, both from my opponent's side and from my own. When our side plays better than the other and loses anyway, we may feel cheated and somehow robbed of our just reward since the universe isn't functioning as it should. The purpose of this book is that we learn to actually expect our games to go this way, rather than live in the false expectation of the perfect, error-free masterpiece. In essence, most of us psychologically exist expecting an ostentatious display of our wealth, while in reality we are living a life of abject poverty.

This is neither a time for creative gluttony, nor asceticism, but instead, a time for balance, accepting good and bad moves in our games with equanimity. Chess is too complex for most human minds and we must factor in errors and then find a way to win anyway. In some of the games I selected, the connection to reality seems to be merely incidental. The one thing they have in common is their lack of smoothness. The games selection was difficult, since I looked for ones which were obscured in a cataract of grays, rather than the straight line linear trajectories where, let's say White achieves a ' $\underline{\underline{\prime}}$ ', adds pressure and reaches ' + ' over ' - ', and
then on to a '+-' winning position, and finally the winning side looks upon his position with a benevolent smile and an approving gaze, as the position begins to sprout answers. Then the winning side finds the clever combination to put his or her opponent out of his or her misery.

Instead, we examine games which don't invite emulation! Chess is the only activity I know where fear and exhilaration mingle equally. Entry into such a variation means that we agree to be tossed about by unthinking/ unfeeling chance. Real life is not the movies where in the end the hero or heroine's wishes are fulfilled. Tournament chess is a struggle of ups, downs and gray neutrals. One key motif in this book is to learn what we should do when matters don't go our way. We learn how to be the master safecracker who knows just how to charm and sweet-talk the combination from the tumbler lock. Mean Caissa isn't one of those deities who pour benevolent bounty from their cornucopia to anyone - just to her favorites, her Morphys, Capablancas and Fischers. For the rest of us it's just crumbs. And so our games are full of bumps of good fortune/misfortune. This book tries to teach us how to win when life isn't in a bull market. Essentially, Capablanca is banned here. Every reader of this book deserves praise since most of our lives we have been tested harshly by chess, yet we remain faithful players, refusing to switch to monopoly or tic tac toe.

## What is there to learn in this book?

When I began this book, I didn't intend it to be one full of contradictory/ anomalous games, which merely amuse the reader, yet don't teach anything. I did my best to instruct as well, not just in chess, but in the mind states we need during a chess game. Throughout the book I inserted a term which New in Chess publisher Allard called 'Moments of Contemplation', where the reader isn't asked to solve a puzzle or find a plan (it's not a puzzle to be solved if there is no concrete solution), but instead, look for a way to trick the opponent, or find the best practical chance in an otherwise grim situation. With these moments of contemplation we go to a place where there are no clear demarcation lines of right and wrong. We simply look for a move or idea which maximizes our chance for survival or maybe even victory via a swindle.

This won't be one of those 'greatest hits' books where you recognize $90 \%$ of the games, since I searched for confrontational and also whimsical players. I also used games from players who are friends whose styles I understand, like IM's Jack Peters, Dionisio Aldama and Keaton Kiewra, since their troublemaking styles are perfect models for this book.

A position's reality is often way past our perception, and, on rare occasions, way past what we can even imagine. This book is designed to
be a user's guide on how to deal with change in our chess games. In most chess books we are used to seeing superbly played games with very few errors on both sides. Well, such games are actually anomalies. In real life club-level chess, most of our games are poxed with dubious marks, question marks and double question marks.

Here is what you can learn from this book:

1. How to deal with jarring rhythms and retain a mind state of equanimity, when the chessboard in front of you is on fire. Psychologists tell us that once we know the outcome of an event, we tend to falsely believe that it was more predictable than it actually was, which is a fancy way of saying: hindsight is always 20/20. This book is a simple reminder of a difficult-to-accept fact: our chess, much like our actual life, doesn't always go the way we expect it to turn out. We want our games to move in a straight, upward trajectory to our goal and what we usually get instead is the baroque, the convoluted, and, worst of all, the unexpectedly unpleasant. Normally we like to draw a moral from chess games. For example: Black neglected development in the opening and was quickly punished with a mating attack from his opponent, who developed rapidly and then opened lines, following all the principles. In this book this scenario is often reversed, and we are left with an uncomfortable feeling that the wrong player won the game. When going through a smooth Capablanca game, we get this odd feeling that a string quartet plays softly in the background. I assure you that you won't get that feeling from going over the games in this book!
2. Learn how to flip flop a result - the more undeserving, the better.
3. How to avoid self-inflicted wounds and discover why it is so much easier to poison our own position, than to cure it.
4. Avoid paranoia. The paranoid's creed goes: if you regard everyone with suspicious distrust, then you can never be betrayed. I also looked for games which involved losses through paranoia.
5. The art of provoking your opponent: if you wish to provoke the opponent into a rash action, then un-guard something to tempt him or her.
6. Learning the difference between genuine versus faked audacity, and how to make certain you don't fall into the latter category.
7. Learn how to grab material and get away with the crime: the proceeds earned from theft must be balanced with the level of risk involved. In this book we examine the fine lines between greed and generosity.
8. Panicked versus Irrational Decisions: one is certain to fail, while the other may succeed.
9. In this book we learn that an astonishing chess game cannot arise from one side alone. Instead, it's a collaboration which requires a conspiracy from both players to give it birth.
10. We learn the moment for both action and inaction. The line can be incredibly thin.
11. Learn how to set up reverse traps: there are traps and there are reverse traps, where the prey is the trapper himself. It's a disconcerting revelation when we realize that we aren't quite as clever as we thought.
12. Learn how to create reverse miracles: the book is loaded with perverse reverse miracles, where the wrong player (from the standpoint of logic) wins games, where one side leads a charmed existence, breaking all the rules, and gets away with it. In case you were wondering, that would be our side. When Mother Caissa grants you a miracle, my advice is that you don't throw it back in her face. The trouble is most of us fail to sense the miracle when it is about to happen. In this book we try and get a feel for that perfect moment when we sense opportunity.
13. How to deal with the swamp, which is the serial killer's dumping ground of submerged corpses. We look at how to navigate irrational games, which fit outside any strategic reference point and where the only rule is that there are no rules.
14. The lunge: when to go for it and when to hold back?
15. This is the most important one of the entire book: become a master of the Moment of Contemplation, where if no solution exists over the board, we look for a psychological 'solution'. Remember this: the only way to shake an otherwise solid opponent is to unfollow the normal playbook. We see, over and over in this book, cases where ingenuity, born of desperation, overrides the opponent's logic. We are not a Hobbit in Middle Earth, on some noble quest. When in trouble, our goal is resistance, and if this resistance includes embracing the irrational, then we embrace it.

Here is a glimpse at some of the positions we encounter in the book:


In this game, White, Emil Diemer of Blackmar-Diemer Gambit fame, played the opening like a toddler who understands how pawns move, but has yet to grasp how pieces move. He made 17 consecutive pawn moves
and was rewarded with a busted, overextended position. Somehow he managed to survive and win later on with a series of impossibly brilliant sacrifices.


There are bizarre first move choices, and then there are those from another dimension. This is Morphy-Barnes, first match game. Barnes, in a feat of breath-taking audacity, taunted Morphy with 1...f7-f6?, which some of us would interpret as an assault on decency itself. We all know that Barnes will lose this game with the sureness of prophecy and one of the players did indeed lose without putting up much of a fight. Guess who won the game?


When we were kids we could always sense the boogieman nearby at night, yet we never ever caught a glimpse of him. As Black and with White to play, GM Larry Christiansen found himself down a full queen against a master. His position was fresh out of miracles, so he decided to construct one of his own by winning this game. How? On time? By a colossal swindle? No. He simply took advantage of his opponent's missed opportunities and slowly outplayed White from this position. It turned out to be one of those movies where the dead refuse to remain dead. We all sing our hymns of suffering and loss to any chess friend who is willing to listen. I sense the torment of Christiansen's opponent explaining to a friend how he was up a full queen against a GM, yet lost.


You know those pharmaceutical ads which list their drugs' side effects: loss of appetite, night sweats, dizziness, nausea, mood swings, depression and thoughts of suicide? Well, I suffered all of those and I didn't even take the drug. The problem was, as White, I looked up and saw my IM opponent's homicidal eyes boring through my unfortunate king. Somehow I managed to fluke my way out of trouble, despite the awful current assessment.


In the above position Larsen was up two full pawns against Bronstein. The pawns didn't do him a bit of good since Bronstein engineered an unbreakable light-square blockade. Larsen played the mother of all lunges, g3-g4!?!?, followed by the sacrifice of two more pawns to go after Bronstein's king. No, this isn't a sign of impaired cognitive function on Larsen's part. Before you accuse Larsen of overpromising and underdelivering, consider this: it managed to fluster the great David Bronstein, who went on to lose an unloseable game.

When our victorious opponents utter those awful words: 'You played well', they tend to fall flat to our collectively empty ears and hearts. And then later we are dizzy with elation when we defeat this same opponent in a close/exciting game. It's foolish to get depressed when the sun sets and just as foolish to be elated when it rises the next morning. So next time we win or lose a close game, may we learn the lesson to ride the happy and cruel tides of fortune with equanimity.

## CHAPTER 2

## I'm not a therapist, so I can't comment: how to fluster your opponent with an opening surprise

In this chapter we look at the eccentric and the just plain weird, when it comes to opening choices. The lesson from this chapter is that opening ambushes do sometimes have a debilitating psychological effect on our opponent's following move choices.

## Game 17

Barnes Defence
Paul Morphy
Thomas Barnes
London m 1858

## $1 . e 4$

This game is clear proof that Morphy was a liar, since we see that an overwhelming development lead, central control and a weakened opposing king means nothing! This game's obnoxious epilogue is that sometimes the side who plays by the rules is the one who loses.

## Moment of Contemplation:

1...f6?


Games like this one are why my books are so admired for their academic excellence. Really? 1... f7-f6? against Paul Morphy? Well, best of luck to you!
My ChessBase program got so flustered by Black's first move, that it incorrectly categorized it as a ‘Queen's Fianchetto Defence/ Nimzowitsch Defence'. This absurd move is more an open insult than a chess opening, and is made with the thought: it's dangerous for an
exceptionally intelligent child to show his average peers the true extent of his intelligence, since it tends to get him beaten up. We have always been taught that the wages of sin is death. However, after we see what Barnes got away with in this game, we may want to reconsider.
Black's 'opening' comes from no recognizable species, although I was stunned to see 155 possibly mentally unbalanced players open with it in my database, with Black scoring an astoundingly high $30 \%$. A move like 1...f7-f6? applies immediate psychological pressure on White, since any result other than a win will be humiliating. We chess players endlessly debate the merits or demerits of opening lines like religious controversies from opposing doctrinal camps. Not here, since pretty much every chess player in the world (except the 155 in the database) would agree that Black's first move is idiotic. It looks like a half-hearted e-pawn version of a Dutch Defence prototype, which only went halfway to the f5-square. But I'm afraid your opinion of Paul Morphy will be notched down a tad after viewing this game, since he got flustered and strategically crushed by 1...f7-f6!. What I loathe so much about our current chess era is the heavy memorization required (especially for those unfortunates out there with acorn-sized brains, like your writer), just to avoid getting
beaten in the opening．So we have become a community of Druids， celebrating the Winter Solstice， and our openings have become 25 －move rituals，to be memorized unthinkingly．

## 2．d4

Do you remember the Star Trek episode where Spock＇s blood boiled during the Pon farr mating ritual？According to my database the lifetime score between Barnes and Morphy was $6-3$ ，with one draw，in Morphy＇s favour．I imagine that Morphy＇s blood boiled the same way at this point and that he anticipated clobbering Barnes in just a few moves．

## 2．．．e6

Going into gambit mode with 2 ．．． e5 is also not so wonderful for Black after 3．dxe5 ©c6 4.0 f3 fxe5 5．鼻c4 ©f6 6．$\triangleq \mathrm{g} 5 \mathrm{~d} 5$（pretty much forced．For Black it almost looks like an awful version of the Fried Liver Attack where Black，not White，parts with material）7．exd5
 strategically wretched position for Black，Glembek－Barbalic，cr 2009.
3．賭d3 勾 7


From the five games from this position in my database，only Morphy was the one to lose．It＇s a mercy that he isn＇t alive to know this fact，otherwise his blood would have boiled even more．

## 4．自e3

It looks too early to commit the bishop to e3．4．$\triangle \mathrm{f} 3$ is more flexible．

## 4．．．d5 5． ®c3 $^{\text {dxe4 }}$

This move turns the game into a sort of crappy Rubinstein French for Black，with his e7－knight misplaced and the idiotic ．．．f7－f6 tossed in．
6． Vxe $^{2}$
6．鼻xe4 is also good for White．

He should have preserved his bishop with 7．鼻d2．

## 7．．．鼻e7 8．䩧h5＋！？

＇Patzer sees a check，patzer plays a check＇doesn＇t apply to Morphy，who，much like the Old Testament God，hankers to dole out disproportionate punishment to the sinner sitting across from him．This move actually reduces White＇s edge since Black actually benefits from ．．．87－g6．8．$\triangle$ f4！looks superior．

## 8．．．g6 9．嵝h6



## 9．．．鼻f8！

First Barnes opens with 1．．．f7－f6 and then he un－develops his bishop．
This is actually a good move since it prevents White＇s queen from infiltrating g7 and，secondly，it prepares a fianchetto（an odd concept in 1858）which strengthens the black king＇s defensive barrier．

## 10．䲘h4 鼻g7 11．0－0

More accurate was 11．蓲h6 0－0 12．c3．

## 11．．．0－0

11．．．. b 4 ？！wouldn＇t bother White，
 Black to fall even further behind in development if he grabs the c2－pawn．

## 12．c4？

This move allows Black to force a favourable ending．White retains his edge after 12．寞h6！©b4 13．寞c4！
 to the simple 14．c3！when Black＇s queen is unable to touch White＇s
包bc6 16．寞xd4 包xd4 17．0c5 f5 18．Og5 h6 19． 0 cxe6 崽xe6 20．崽xe6＋
 23．${ }^{\text {⿷匚⿳ }} \mathrm{B}$ xf7．Black＇s one pawn fails to provide full compensation for the exchange．


## 12．．． $0 x$ xe3！13．fxe3 f5！



Advantage Black！Now we see the coiled spring effect．
It＇s a shock when your opponent imagines that you are weak and then he or she discovers you are not．When a great attacker／ tactician meets a great strategist， each is skilled in the area the other isn＇t．Morphy had a tough time stylistically against Barnes，who was kind of a Petrosian prototype． Barnes was actually a remarkable player for his time，since he played in as un－romantic a style as possible，in the heart of the Romantic era．His ultra－defensive style is best described as roaches scurrying for dark corners，when the kitchen lights are turned on．

## 14． Oeg $^{\text {h } 615.0 f 3 ~}$

Morphy resigns himself to an inferior ending．15． after 15．．．c5！16．党g3 cxd4 17．e4 4 d7 18．exf5 exf5 19．${ }^{\text {ene }} \mathrm{e}$ 光e8．There is no good way for White to press his
 and White has lost the initiative， remains down a pawn and his would－be attack is a fiction．
15．．．e5？！

Black should have jumped on the queen swap immediately with

 ©c6．Black will be up a pawn with the superior structure．
16．嵝xd8 谏x


## Exercise－Moment of

Contemplation：Black threatens both 17．．．e4，winning a piece， and also 17．．．exd4．Should White complicate with 17．e4，or should he offer a pawn with 17 ．فُ 2 ，banking on his development lead in the ending？

## 17．（ A c2？

When we look at these ancient games，we come to a realization that the players of the era didn＇t have the foggiest notion of what they were doing，strategically．In the context of present－day strategic knowledge，even giants like Paul Morphy sometimes played with abysmal lack of understanding，by today＇s standards．His sacrifice is made with the thought：power is seized by force，not inherited via inaction．Morphy reasons that in the midst of a strategic emergency， the time to act is now，and not
at our earliest convenience．He sacrifices a pawn for a development lead，which isn＇t nearly enough with queens off the board．
Answer：White would still be in the game if he found 17．e4！f4 $18 . \mathrm{d} 5$ when Black only stands a touch better．

## 17．．．exd4 18．exd4 鼻xd4＋！

Barnes calls Morphy＇s bluff．White doesn＇t get enough for the pawn．

White puts up a better fight with

20．．．．${ }^{\text {baff }}$ 21．c5？
Even a genius can have an off－day． The pawn push weakens White＇s c－pawn，while enhancing the power of Black＇s bishop．He should have played 21．党ad1．
21．．．宣e6 22．\＃ad1


White＇s development lead is meaningless，since he lacks routes of entry into Black＇s position．

Morphy offers his a2－pawn in desperation，rather than play 24．${ }^{\text {deb }} \mathrm{g}$ g5！when White is losing without much of a fight．

## 24．．．g5

A sensible move，hemming in White＇s knight，while pushing
forward his kingside pawn majority． Black could get away with the
 bxc6 27．黑xc6 䝂88 when White＇s rook entry to the seventh rank is meaningless．

Principle：Swap pieces when ahead in material．
 dag6


White＇s passive and pawn－down position is manned by a crew of pale，haggard ghosts，more than able－bodied pieces：
1．Black is up a healthy pawn；
2．Black＇s minor pieces are more active；
3．Black has a dominant king position．
The position is a rather easy technical win for Black，which he converts rather easily against a now dispirited Morphy，whose blood has stopped boiling．

Now we can add a superior minor piece to Black＇s growing list of advantages．
 h5 35． 2 2 2






Exercise（planning）：What is Black＇s clearest conversion plan？

## 37．．．c6

He doesn＇t need this move．
Answer：Black wins faster with 37．．．fxg3 38．hxg3 鼻g2！with ．．．h5－h4 to follow，winning White＇s knight soon．
38．b4 鼻g2 39．gxf4 梠xf4


It becomes clear that Black will create a passed pawn on the king－ side which will win White＇s knight． $40 . a 4$ 鼻f1
He wants to prevent Od $^{2}+$

 simply gains a tempo for Black．

41．乌e4 h4 42．乞d2 鼻e2 43．乞e4 g4 Black＇s moves are not exactly difficult to find．
44．©f2 \＆


 against the push of Black＇s h－pawn．




Black is just a wee bit faster in the promotion race．Now we get a picture of the humiliation level Robert E．Lee felt when he surrendered his sword to Ulysses S．Grant．This must have been the most vexing loss of Morphy＇s life，since his weaker opponent attempted to mess with his head with the insult $1 . . . f 6$ ？，and the sad part of it is，it worked．

Game 18
Sokolsky Opening
Robert James Fischer
J．Gloger
Cleveland simul 1964
If a single word was all I was allowed to describe the life
of Bobby Fischer，it would be： ＇loneliness＇．When we look back， it＇s difficult to gauge if humanity prospered or was made worse by his existence．I，for one，place aside his disturbed behavior and focus on his art，since he redefined the meaning of just what the human mind is capable of on the chess board． The collective memory of our past study has made us the players we are today．I worshipped both Capablanca＇s and Fischer＇s chess games as a kid（and still do！）and I think their styles led me to look for clarity and harmony in my own chess．

## 1．b4！？



Sometimes we play an opening completely antithetical to our own， the way a racist may still sleep with a partner of a race he or she hates． What？Fischer plays the Orangutan？ Charlton Heston would say：Take your stinking paws off that b－pawn， you damned dirty ape！The high priest of $1 . e 4$ takes a vacation from his religion，just as he did when he played Spassky in their World Championship match and opened some games with 1 c4！？．

I actually think 1．b4 is not such a bad opening，since White enjoys some leeway in having the first move，which is the equivalent of the serve in tennis．But of course Fischer is just goofing around，since this is a simul game．

## 1．．．e5 2．． e b f6

This seems like an odd way of reinforcing e5．In case you were wondering，your writer＇s playing career began with the following win in a rated tournament game：
A） $2 . .$. ©c6？3．b5！！©d4 4．e3！！©e6 5． 昷xe5 and I won a pawn and $^{2}$ converted brilliantly，Lakdawala－ Pauley，Canadian Open，Toronto 1973．My first rating came in at an impressive 1150 at age 12 ，and the Canadian chess community clearly sensed a prodigy in the making； B）2．．．鼻xb4－Black allows White a central preponderance in exchange for a development lead：3．鼻xe5 ©f6 4．h3！？©c6 5．賭h2 0－0 6．e3


 16．c4 c5 17．©b3 皆ad8 18．cxd5 $勹 x$ xd5

 24．©a3 b4 25．©c4 鼻h5 26．．＂a2 0 g 5
顔f6 and Hikaru resigned a few moves later in the game Nakamura－ Lakdawala，Internet blitz 2005. The 10,000 blitz losses I suffered at Nakamura＇s hands are not relevant． Only this game counts．
3．e4！？


Now it gets interesting．Fischer gambits his b－pawn in Evans Gambit fashion．If you plan a revolution， then don＇t expect it to be bloodless． When we play a move this risky，it becomes clear that self－preservation is no longer our highest priority．No speculative sacrifice is a sure bet， yet this doesn＇t stop people from playing them．

## 3．．．鼻xb4 4．鼻 4

The bishop slices through the a2－g8 diagonal and it＇s clear that White has full compensation for the sacrificed pawn．
4．．．乌e75．嵝h5＋乌g6
 8．©e2 ©d4 9．©xd4 exd4 10．0－0
 inability to castle gave White full compensation for the pawn in Rook－Gierth，cr 2009.

## 6．f4！？



The message from this move is clear：＇I＇m calling the shots now．＇Technically this is slightly unsound，but keep in mind that Bobby Fischer isn＇t likely to be intimidated by a simul opponent， so he decides to play both an Evans Gambit and a King＇s Gambit， wrapped together in a single game． More sensible was 6． 0 e2 c6 7．f4 d5 8．exd5 b5 9．鼻b3 0－0 10．a4！．I prefer White＇s position．

## 6．．．exf4 7．©f3

Qh4 is in the air．

## 

7．．．能e7！offers Black an edge，since
White is unable to castle away．
鼻xc5＋10．d4 鼻b6．White lacks full compensation for his missing two pawns with the queens removed from the board．

## 8． 0 c3？


9．鼻d5 崄f8！and if $10.0 x \mathrm{xg} 6 \mathrm{hxg} 6$
 better due to the open h－file；
B）8．c3！鼻a5 9．d4 﨔e7 10．0－0
offers White full compensation for the two sacrificed pawns．

## 8．．．鼻xc3

Principle：Swap pieces when leading materially．I still prefer 8．．．．${ }_{\text {ume }}$ e7！ $9.0-0-0$ 鼻xc3 10．自xc3 d6 11．d4鼻d7 12．g3 0－0－0．I don＇t see enough compensation for White＇s two missing pawns．

## 9．自xc3 d6


 has regained one of his two
sacrificed pawns，which is like spending $\$ 100,000$ in legal fees to sue someone，you win，and the jury awards you a symbolic $\$ 1,00$ ． 13．．．崽e6 and White doesn＇t have enough for the pawn．
10． 2 h4 0 ce 7
Black keeps missing the defensive





Black has an un－losable ending and stands a shade better．

## 11．©f5？

Fischer played chess as close to perfection as the human eye could detect，but not so close when a comp＇s ruthless gaze falls upon his moves．White has more than enough compensation for the pawns after the simple 11．0－0．

## 11．．．⿻木口⿱⿰㇒一乂⿱一⿻上丨又解8？

Black could have seized the initiative with the undermining shot $11 . . . \mathrm{d} 5$ ！
 14．exf5 dxc4 15．0－0 紪d6 16．fxg6＋ hxg6 with a clear initiative and two extra pawns for Black）12．．．崖xe7
 unraveled and kept his extra pawn．


Exercise：Black＇s last move allowed White a combination．What did Fischer miss on his next move？
12．0－0？
Listless development isn＇t going to get the job done．Fischer missed a big attacking opportunity：
Answer：12．蒐xf6！（annihilation of defensive barrier）12．．．崽xf5（forced；


 keeps nodding his head stupidly， in Howdy Doody fashion，at the White queen＇s＇suggestions＇．White has a winning attack） $13 . \operatorname{exf} 5 \mathrm{gxf6}$ 14．欮h6＋象e8 15．fxg6 ©xg6 16．0－0． Black＇s king is in grave danger and his kingside structure a mess．

## 

 coming combinational strike．


Exercise：Okay，let＇s try this again．
White to play and force a win：

## 13．${ }^{\text {最xf6！}}$

Answer：Annihilation of defensive
barrier．This is the same idea which Fischer missed a move ago，and now White＇s attack gleams with the look of destiny．
13．．．曽xf5

When we are too weak to fight and too slow to run，then our only other option is to hide．When we face a much higher rated player，we come to the depressing realization that＇all which I understand，my opponent understands to a far greater degree＇．Sometimes we writhe with righteous indignation at being forced to back down，yet we are wise to do so if our survival depends upon it．This is Black＇s only response，although it isn＇t nearly enough to save him．
A）13．．．gxf6？？－Black has won some material，which means．．．I guess it doesn＇t mean anything：14．鲜h6\＃；


新g8 21 ．总e8＋and game over．

## 14．exf5 d5


 h4 20．皆d7！Black is crushed．


飛g8 20. ．${ }^{\text {首ae1 }}$ and wins．The lesson from this game is that Fischer was incapable of playing ugly，even when he tried his best to do so．

